





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/709.098	11/10/2000	Naoyuki Shino	81767.0164	2879
	7590 04/18/2002	1	A	
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.		EXAMINER		
500 S. GRAND AVENUE		LEE, BENNY T		ENNY T
SUITE 1900		<i> </i>		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		/	2817	=
		· /	DATE MAILED: 04/18/2007	\sim
		,/		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Patent and Trad, ark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DATE MAILED: This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on This action is made final. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire. month(s), herty 130 days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: L Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. L Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 S. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 SUMMARY OF ACTION L. Claims are pending in the application. Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration. 2 Claims_ have been cancelled. Claims Claims Claims. are objected to. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes until such time as allowable subject Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on . These drawings are. acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation). 10. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been ___ approved by the examiner. ___ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed_ ., has been 🔲 approved. 🔲 disapproved (see explanation). However, the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applicant's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are corrected. Corrections MUST be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the attached letter "INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474. 12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has peen received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. ; filed on . 11. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 Q.G. 213. 14. Diber

Art Unit: 2817

Detail Action

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: the embodiment of Figs. 1,2;

Species II: the embodiment of Figs. 2a-2c, 6a, 6b;

Species III: the embodiments of Figs. 2d, 6c, 8;

Species IV: the embodiment of Figs. 4a-4e:

Species V: the embodiment of Fig. 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 709098

Art Unit: 2817

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Benny Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308 4902.

DENINIVTIEE

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2817

B. Lee

March 8, 2002

Page 3